

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/047,873

Applicant(s)

SCHINDLER, A. RUSSELL

Examiner

Christopher Upton

Art Unit

1724

## All Participants:

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) Jeffrey Chapp.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 25 November 2003

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 20 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Udell et al, other prior art of record

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*It was agreee to amend claims 1 and 20 to recite an entrained flow, to patentably distinguish over Udell, and to amend the claims to define an entrained flow, for clarification.*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)